

Workshop "Aquifer Storage for Germany"

Optimisation of design and operational parameters at the example of ATES Adlershof based on Reduced Order Models (ROM) and more

Elena Petrova, Stefan Kranz, Cornelius Schwarze Mauro Cacace, Yuxuan Liu, Guido Blöcher



Offenburg 19.02.2025

Federal Ministry of Education and Research



ATES in real aquifers



- Temporal dynamics
- Spatial variability
- Conceptual uncertainty etc.

What are the primary controls on ATES in the subsurface?

GFZ Helmholtz-Zentrum

Advection-Dispersion Equation

$$R_T \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v} \nabla T - \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{D} \nabla T) = 0$$

- Energy exchange between aqueous and solid phase
- Requires a 3D vector field of velocity
- Large CPU times to solve the ADE+ (numerical dispersion, oscillations, time-space resolution)
- Parameters, Parameters
- Computational effort vs. Nonlinearity -> ensemble-based solutions
- ? How ATES functions and what are the controlling parameters
- ? How to make it simple enough but still informative







- A conceptually simplified yet precise description of ATES
- Try to avoid spatially variable 3D description

$$3D \rightarrow 1D$$

$$R_T \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \nu \nabla T - \nabla \cdot (D \nabla T) = 0 \qquad \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \frac{A}{rR_T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} - (\frac{A\alpha_T}{rR_T} + \frac{D_T}{R_T}) \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} = 0$$

ADE \rightarrow surrogate (regression or classification)



Push pull tests for parameter inference





Regression surrogate model of 1D ADE for plausible parameter combinations <u>Multitracer push-pull test in Horonobe, Japan</u>





Regression surrogate model of 1D ADE for plausible parameter combinations <u>Multitracer push-pull test in Horonobe, Japan</u>



GFZ Helmholtz-Zentrum

Regression surrogate model of 1D ADE for plausible parameter combinations

Solute dispersivity



Well placing effect on the long-term ATES functioning Classification surrogate model for ATES in Berlin Adlershof for optimal design





Well placing effect on the long-term ATES functioning

Thermal limit

Hydraulic limit





Coupled surface-subsurface modelling

Coupling of the district heating network simulation (TRNSYS – TUD) with the subsurface simulation (MOOSE-GOLEM)



District heating network simulation

Server TU Dresden

TH-Simulation of ATES





Coupled surface-subsurface modelling



BH2 Well Trajectory Spandau site Berlin

CMG simulation of the aquifer



Depth (m.TVD)

571

537 502

468

434

400 365

331

297

- Model validation with pumping tests to match permeability and productivity index.
- Sensitivity analysis:
 - High permeability and longer

HELMHOLTZ

perforation enhance well performance.

- Temperature differences impact flow resistance and therefore PL

K Progress K

- Milestone 1. A matrix of relevant system parameters for both sites including sensitivity assessment
- ✓ The developed methodology is general, application to other sites possible
- Milestone 2. 1D 3D conceptual models with a framework for inversion to determine system parameters
- ✓ Application to the push-pull tests in Horonobe, Japan
- ✓ Application to Adlershof site and Spandau
- Milestone 3. Recommendations for action for in-service monitoring of aquifer storage systems are formulated in Hintergrund Paper
- Bonus. Weak coupling scheme implemented accounting for an in-depth technical implementation of Adlershof ATES site

HELMHO





- Reduced-order models are a helpful speed-up to create an ensemble of physics-based models
- A physics-based model does not need to include all processes and parameters – figuring out controlling parameters



HELMHO

To which extend does aquifer heterogeneity play a significant role?
 – conditions/scheme for including it or not





Thank you!

Elenape@gfz.de





This work is funded by BMBF grant number: 03G0911



Federal Ministry of Education and Research

